

REMARKS

In the last Office Action, claim 1 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by either Iwasaki (JP 04-149945) or Kodama et al. (JP 2004-087174). Claims 2-7 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Iwasaki in view of Barna et al. Claims 2-7 were further rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Kodama et al. Claim 9 was objected to as being an improper multiple dependent claim. Claim 8 was allowed.

In accordance with this response, claims 1-7 and 9-11 have been canceled, thereby leaving only allowed claim 8 pending in the application. Allowed claim 8 has been revised in formal respects to bring it into better conformance with U.S. practice.

The specification has been revised in editorial respects. A new, more descriptive title has been added. A new abstract has also been added.

Applicant and applicant's attorney acknowledge with appreciation the allowability of claim 8. The prior art rejections of claims 1-7 and 10-11 and the objection to claim 9 have been rendered moot by the cancellation of these claims.

As all outstanding objections have been overcome and as only allowed claim 8 remains pending in the application, the application is now believed to be in allowable form.


Accordingly, favorable reconsideration and passage of the application to issue are respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

ADAMS & WILKS

Attorneys for Applicant

By:


Bruce L. Adams
Reg. No. 25,386

17 Battery Place
Suite 1231
New York, NY 10004
(212) 809-3700

MAILING CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first-class mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop AMENDMENT, COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on the date indicated below.

DIANE HEGSTROM

Diane Hegstrom


Signature

August 10, 2009

Date